

Below is a summary of the 15 replies received to the HCBD-specific questions in the survey about the Face-to-Face meeting.

- Respondents were from 9 jurisdictions and included public health/health promotion practitioners, policy specialists, planners, researchers and administrators.

♥ Positive feedback, learnings

⌚ Constructive feedback for improvement

❓ Questions, issues, tensions for further discussion and reflection

ACHIEVEMENT OF OBJECTIVES:						
	From your perspective, to what extent was each of the following objectives of the HCBD Face-to-Face Meeting achieved?	Completely achieved	Mainly achieved	Partly achieved	Not at all achieved	
♥	Sharing information about new tools, research, interventions, and strategies	3	9	3	0	
?	Cultivating relationships to support one another in ongoing work	4	7	3	0	
?	Providing direction about future work, priorities and funding sources for the coalition.	1	4	10	0	
WHAT WAS THE MOST USEFUL ASPECT OF HCBD FACE-TO-FACE MEETING FOR YOU?						
♥	<p>Relationship building</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to network and forge relationships with members, which will allow me to more comfortably contact them in the future. • Being able to put faces to the names of other CLASP partners. • Getting the opportunity to have face to face discussion • Informal discussion at breaks • Meeting everyone and exchanging ideas about strategies to move forward with our individual projects. • Meeting people and having a chance to discuss. • networking and meeting with other CLASP members • Opportunity to talk face to face with colleagues 					



Information and resources

- Allowed me to better understand projects underway, and to find out about research and expertise available that I could follow-up with questions.
- Hearing what else is happening in Canada and the resources and information we can access. Finding others who are working on similar projects and being able to share ideas and connect for follow up.
- I found the presentations from the cities / health units who have been working on healthy built environment collaborative initiatives the most helpful. Following the meeting, I have connected with a number of these folks asking for different tools / documents they might be willing to share with us. This helps us advance our work locally at a much quicker pace.
- Panel discussions
- The opportunity to speak with colleagues in person and also to hear more detail about what each region is working on.
- Sustainability discussions

4. SO WHAT WILL CHANGE, IF ANYTHING, IN YOUR OWN WORK BECAUSE OF THE HCBD FACE-TO-FACE MEETING ?



Connections furthered

- Connecting more with other regions who are doing similar work.
- Hopefully will start to collaborate with the Canadian Institute of Planners
- I am now aware of other groups that are working on similar issues to my own, and will facilitate discussions with them on a go forward basis in order to exchange information and synergize efforts. This will ensure that I move forward more efficiently and in a less fragmented manner through my linkages with peers across the country.
- I will continue to call upon my colleagues across the country for tools, ideas, support, etc. as we work through the development of strong, sustainable collaborative relationships between the municipality and the public health unit.
- Networking helped me make some contacts
- Trying to connect more directly with colleagues and draw on them as resources.



Focus on sustainability

- Increased impetus for sustainability planning
- It made us see that our work is well underway, but the issue remains of what will happen after the funding runs out.



Project change

- Oriented one of our 2014 projects as a result of the meetings I had in Winnipeg



Nothing....

- No great change
- Not much
- Not sure.

FOR THE NEXT TIME, HOW COULD WE IMPROVE?

	More networking and discussion, more details!! <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Even more time for networking?!• I think the morning on day one was a bit of a waste of time + got us way off behind schedule. Allowing 5-minutes per presentation didn't allow individuals to get into the real meat of their work. Plus, a lot of what was shared I could have found and read about on www.hcbd-clasp.com. I felt that all 20 or so presentations were only able to gloss over their work and that they started to all sound the same - same lessons learned, same challenges etc. I would have much preferred to use that time in small groups sharing ideas with others working on similar projects to ours.• More group work beyond brainstorming• More time for discussion and learning from each other about what we are working on.• Questions should be allowed during the organizational updates• There was a lot of content for such a short period of time, by the end of Thursday I was spent. If each partner was asked to pick ONE of their projects to present on, time could have been adhered to with greater ease. In addition, the partners could have been clumped into themes, discussion could take place between themes. That would have broken up the presentations a bit more. Finally, hosting the Meeting in a CLASP Phase I City and seeing some of the changes/talking to some of the 'changers' would have been great to see. It would have been great to move a little more!• While informative, the CLASP Facilitator presentations were really short and did not go into great detail about the challenges faced. I would have liked to see less presentations, but more detail about overcoming the challenges
	Facilities <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Room was too small• was not impressed with the delta (rooms or conference facilities)
	Time management <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Better time keep of presentations and provide a format for individuals to follow so there is some consistency with flow and information shared.
	CLASP role <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CLASP could take a louder voice advocating on a national level for active transportation