


The Key Roles of Community & Building Environments in Protecting and Promoting Health

Karen K. Lee, MD, MHSc



An initiative of:



THE 19th CENTURY:

Infectious Diseases

19th Century codes, planning and infrastructure as weapons in the battle against contagious disease

These strategies were built into the city fabric, and they were effective

THE 21st CENTURY:

Chronic Diseases, many of which are “Diseases of Energy”

The emerging design solutions for health parallel sustainable design solutions

Effective designs will have to be an invisible, pervasive, and inevitable part of life

100+ years ago, environmental conditions were a breeding ground for infectious disease epidemics



A TENEMENTAL TENEMENT
[Reproduced from a Photograph by Anthony.]

Over-crowding in Lower Manhattan

1910 density:
114,000 people/ sq. mi.

2011 density:
67,000 people/ sq. mi.



**Inadequate systems
for garbage, water, and
sewer, leading to
pervasive filth and
polluted water supplies**


Major epidemics:

Air/droplet-borne diseases:
TB

Water-borne diseases:
Cholera

Vector-borne diseases:
Yellow-fever

The response was through infrastructure interventions



1842

New York's water system established – an aqueduct brings fresh water from Westchester.

1857

NYC creates Central Park, hailed as “ventilation for the working man’s lungs”, continuing construction through the height of the Civil War

1881

Dept. of Street-sweeping created, which eventually becomes the Department of Sanitation

1901

New York State Tenement House Act banned the construction of dark, airless tenement buildings

1904

First section of Subway opens, allowing population to expand into Northern Manhattan and the Bronx

1916

Zoning Ordinance requires stepped building setbacks to allow light and air into the streets



The Results: Infectious disease successes

57.1%

1880

BEFORE the wide use of
antibiotics!

45.8%

11.3%


1940

AFTER the wide use of
antibiotics!

2.3%

9%

2011



The epidemics of today are:

CHRONIC DISEASES


(obesity, diabetes, heart disease
& strokes, cancers)

Chronic Diseases - #1 cause of death globally (36 million deaths/y).

Leading Risk Factors accounting for 80% of deaths_ (WHO 2011):

- Tobacco
- **Physical Inactivity**
- **Unhealthy Diets**
- Harmful Use of Alcohol



Energy in:
Food



Energy out:
Exercise

BRFSS, 1985

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1986

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





No Data
 <10%
 10%–14%

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

BRFSS, 1987



(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)



Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

BRFSS, 1988

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1989

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





No Data
 <10%
 10%–14%

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1990

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1991

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




□ No Data □ <10% □ 10%-14% □ 15%-19%

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1992

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





□ No Data □ <10% □ 10%-14% □ 15%-19%

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1993

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1994

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1995

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




□ No Data □ <10% □ 10%-14% □ 15%-19%

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1996

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Legend: No Data, <10%, 10%-14%, 15%-19%

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1997

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 1998



(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)



Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

BRFSS, 1999

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2000

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Legend: No Data, <10%, 10%-14%, 15%-19%, 20%+

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2001

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2002

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2003

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2004

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2005

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2006

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2007

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)





Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2008

(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)




Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Obesity Trends* Among U.S. Adults

BRFSS, 2009


(*BMI ≥ 30 , or ~ 30 lbs overweight for 5' 4" woman)



Legend: No Data, <10%, 10%-14%, 15%-19%, 20%-24%, 25%-29%, 30%+

Source: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Diabetes trends among U.S. adults



Source: CDC's Division of Diabetes Translation. National Diabetes Surveillance System
available at <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/statistics>

According to the CDC.....

the medical costs
attributable to obesity
today in the U.S. are
estimated to be

\$147
billion
per year.


By 2030,

if obesity trends continue as shown,
the total attributable health-
care costs will be

\$860-
\$956
billion
per year.

Obesity Trends Among Canadian Adults

CCHS, 2004 (MEASURED height & weight)



Source: M Tjepkema & M Shields, Statistics Canada. June 2005

Physical Inactivity

- 85% of Canadian Adults do not get the minimum 150 minutes of moderate-vigorous physical activity per week
- 91% of Canadian boys and 96% of Canadian girls (ages 6-19 years) do not get the 60 min of moderate-vigorous physical activity per day
- Physical Inactivity contributes to:
 - 21,000 premature deaths (Canada, 1995)

**Obesity and Diabetes have increased rapidly.
Our genetics have not changed in one generation, but
our built environment has!**



Evidence Base for Improving Health through Building, Street and Neighborhood Design

www.thecommunityguide.org/pa

Designing to increase active transportation

Walking, Bicycling and Transit-oriented development

Designs to improve street safety and aesthetics (less crime and traffic / more greening), having sidewalks and bike paths connected to destinations, mixed land use, high population density

Median **increase in physical activity 35% to 161%**

Designing to increase active recreation

Enhancing access to places for physical activity, such as creating walking trails or having onsite or nearby parks, playgrounds and exercise facilities (homes & worksites)

increases leisure-time activity and weight loss

Designing to increase stair use

Point-of-Decision stair prompts

Signs placed at elevators & escalators encouraging stair use, w/ info on benefits of stair use

Median **50% increase** in stair use

Design and aesthetic interventions

Music & art in stairwells

Design stairs to be more convenient and visible

Skip-stop elevators

3300% increase in stair use

Addressing Healthy vs Unhealthy Food and Beverage Access

Food Retail – Supermarkets vs Fast Food

- Supermarket availability is associated with lower rates of neighborhood obesity.
- High density of fast food restaurants is associated with increased weight and obesity in area residents.

Community Gardens

- People with a household member who participated in a community garden ate more fruits and vegetables per day.
- Garden-based nutrition education improved adolescent fruit and vegetable intake.

Access to Tap Water vs Caloric Beverages

- Big source of calories in the US diet (9% of calories) are from carbonated and non-carbonated soft drinks; Children & Adolescents are getting 10-15% of total calories from sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juice.
- Water fountain installation + education in elementary schools in deprived neighborhoods reduced risk of overweight in children.

Sources: Moreland K et al., Supermarkets, other food stores, and obesity. AJPM 2006; 30(4): pp. 333-339.

Mehta NK, Chang VW. Weight status and restaurant availability: a multi-level analysis. AJPM 2008; 34(2): pp. 127-133.

Alaimo K, Packnett E, Miles RA, Kruger DJ. Fruit and vegetable intake among urban community gardeners. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2008; 40(2): pp. 94-101. McAleese JD, Rankin

LL. Garden-based nutrition education affects fruit and vegetable consumption in sixth-grade adolescents. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007 Apr;107(4):662-5.

Block G. Foods contributing to energy intake in the US: data from NHANES III and NHANES 1999–2000. J Food Comp Anal. 2004;17: pp. 439–47.

Wang Y, Bleich S, Gortmaker S. Increasing caloric consumption from sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juices among US children and adolescents, 1988-2004. Pediatrics 2008; 121(6): pp.1604-1614.

Muckelbauer R et al. Promotion and provision of drinking water in schools for overweight prevention: randomized, controlled cluster trial. Pediatrics 2009; 123(4): pp. e661-7.

Co-benefits: Improve the Environment

	Fuel / Electricity Use	Air Quality	Obesity/Diabetes/ Heart Disease
Biking or walking rather than automotive transport	√	√	√
Stairs rather than elevators and escalators	√	√	√
Active recreation rather than television	√	√	√
Safe tap water rather than bottled and canned beverages	√	√	√
Fresh produce rather than unhealthy processed foods	√	√	√

Co-benefits: Create more accessible places for all

- Creating safer places to walk, take transit, & for wheelchair travel
- Making elevators more available for those who need them




Co-benefits: Reduce infrastructure costs

More compact, walkable development patterns save money on avoided infrastructure costs

	Water & Sewer Laterals Required	Water & Sewer Costs (billions)	Road Lane Miles Required	Road Land Miles Costs (billions)
Sprawl Growth Scenario	45,866,594	\$189.8	2,044,179	\$927.0
Compact Growth Scenario	41,245,294	\$177.2	1,855,874	\$817.3
Savings	4,621,303	\$12.6 (10.1%)	188,305	\$109.7 (6.6%)

Sprawl Costs: Economic Impacts of Unchecked Development, Robert W. Burchell, Anthony Downs, Barbara McCann and Sahan Mukherji, Island Press, 2005

Co-benefits: Save people money



People in walkable, transit-rich neighborhoods spend only 9 percent of their monthly income on transportation costs; those in auto-dependent neighborhoods spend 25 percent.

Source: Center for Transit-Oriented Development

Co-benefits: Create jobs

Project type	Road	Bicycle	Pedestrian	Off-street trail	Number of projects	Direct jobs per \$1 million	Indirect jobs per \$1 million	Induced jobs per \$1 million	Total jobs per \$1 million
Total, all projects					58	4.69	2.12	2.15	8.96
Bicycle infrastructure only		•			4	6.00	2.40	3.01	11.41
Off-street multi-use trails				•	9	5.09	2.21	2.27	9.57
On-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities (without road construction)		•	•		2	4.20	2.20	2.02	8.42
Pedestrian infrastructure only			•		10	5.18	2.33	2.40	9.91
Road infrastructure with bicycle and pedestrian facilities	•	•	•		13	4.32	2.21	2.00	8.53
Road infrastructure with pedestrian facilities	•		•		9	4.58	1.82	2.01	8.42
Road infrastructure only (no bike or pedestrian components)	•				11	4.06	1.86	1.83	7.75

Building bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure creates more jobs per dollar invested, compared to road infrastructure only



Source: Political Economy Research Institute: June 2011

Co-benefits: Create desirable places to live, work & play

Sprawl Community :

Preferred by 43%

There are **only single-family houses** on large lots

There are **no sidewalks**

Places such as shopping, restaurants, a library, and a school are within **a few miles** of your home and **you have to drive** most places

There is enough parking when you drive to local stores, restaurants, and other places

Public transportation, such as bus, subway, light rail, or commuter rail, is **distant or unavailable**

Smart Growth Community :

Preferred by 56%

There is a **mix** of single-family detached houses, townhouses, apartments, and condominiums on various sized lots

Almost all of the streets have **sidewalks**

Places such as shopping, restaurants, a library, and a school are within **a few blocks** of your home and **you can either walk or drive**

Parking is limited when you decide to drive to local stores, restaurants, and other places

Public transportation, such as bus, subway, light rail, or commuter rail, **is nearby**

Integrating Health into Urban & Building Design Policies & Practices

- The Need for **Partnerships Across Sectors**
- **Finding Synergies and Co-Benefits**
- **Complementary Roles** of Partners
 - Health: Data on key health issues; evidence for interventions; helped organize meetings/conferences for cross-sector discussions; co-leader/partner in initiatives; health-related evaluations
 - Planning, Transportation, Parks, Public Works, Housing, School Construction, Buildings, Private Sector Architects/Developers: Ideas of what's feasible in the current local context; identifying opportunities and mechanisms, including and especially synergistic efforts; co-Leadership and participation in the efforts
 - Researchers: evidence reviews and synthesis, evaluation research
- Using **Evidence-Based and Best-Practice Strategies**
- Using **Annual Conferences as Strategic Milestones**
 - E.g. Annual NYC Fit City Conferences – Fit City 8 June 24, 2013
www.aiany.org/fitcity7

U.S. - Built Environment & Health Initiatives



Boston MA ~ Cherokee Nation OK ~ Chicago IL ~ Cook County IL ~

Douglas County NE ~ Jefferson County AL ~ King County WA ~ Louisville KY ~

Miami-Dade County FL ~ Multnomah County OR ~ Nashville TN ~ Philadelphia PA ~

Pima County AZ ~ San Diego CA



COMMUNITIES
PUTTING PREVENTION
TO WORK

Cross-Sector Partnerships in U.S. Cities

Key Intergovernmental Partners in Local Communities
(n=15, incl. NYC):

- Public Health – 15
- Planning – 15
- Transportation – 14
- Education/School Construction – 12
- Parks and Recreation – 12
- Public Works – 8
- Housing Development or Management – 6
- Buildings – 3

The Canadian Context



An initiative of:



- Goal: creating healthy communities that support active transportation and physical activity
- Partnership: national health, planning and transportation organizations
 - + non-governmental organizations + university researchers
 - + regional and local health authorities in 8 of the 10 provinces:
 - B.C., Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland

THE CASE STUDY OF NEW YORK CITY

Fit City Conferences



Fit-City:
Promoting Physical Activity Through



FitCity7 PROMOTING PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
THROUGH DESIGN




Fit-City 3:
Promoting Physical Activity Through Design



The Active Design Guidelines

Chapters

- 1) Environmental Design and Health: Past and Present
- 2) Urban Design: Creating an Active City
- 3) Building Design: Creating Opportunities for Daily Physical Activity
- 4) Synergies with Sustainable and Universal Design



Creation of the Guidelines

Active Design Guidelines Team



Michael Bloomberg
MAYOR

David Burney
COMMISSIONER
Department of Design and Construction

Thomas Farley
COMMISSIONER
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Janette Sadik-Khan
COMMISSIONER
Department of Transportation

Amanda Burden
COMMISSIONER
Department of City Planning

New York City Staff*

Department of Design and Construction
David Burney, FAIA
Commissioner

Margo Woolley, AIA
Assistant Commissioner,
Architecture and Engineering
Division

Vitoria Milne, MID
Director, Office of Creative Services

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Karen Lee, MD, MHSc, FRCPC
Director, Built Environment

Sarah Wolf, MPH, RD
Built Environment Coordinator

Department of Transportation
Wendy Feuer, MA
Assistant Commissioner of Urban
Design and Art, Division of Planning
and Sustainability

Hanna Gustafsson
Former Urban Fellow, Division of
Planning and Sustainability

Department of City Planning
Alexandros Washburn, AIA
Chief Urban Designer

Skye Duncan, MSAUD, BArch
Associate Urban Designer

Mayor's Office of Management and Budget
Joyce Lee, AIA, LEED AP
Chief Architect

Academic Partners

Craig Zimring PhD.
Professor, Georgia Institute of
Technology
College of Architecture

Gayle Nicoll, M.Arch, PhD, OAA
Associate Professor and Chair,
University of Texas at San Antonio
Department of Architecture

Julie Brand Zook, M.Arch
Researcher, Georgia Institute of
Technology
College of Architecture

Reid Ewing, PhD
Professor, University of Utah,
Department of
City and Metropolitan Planning

American Institute of Architects New York Chapter

Fredric Bell, FAIA
Executive Director

Sherida Paulsen, FAIA
2009 President

Editor

Irene Chang, March, MPhil
Cheng+Snyder

Community, Academic and Private Sector

Ernest Hutton, Hutton Associates,
INC.
Ellen Martin, 1100 Architects
Linda Polack Marpillero Pollak,
Architects
John Pucher, Bloustein School of
Planning and Public Policy,
Rutgers University
Jessica Spiegel, 1100 Architects
William Stein, Dattner Architects
Shin-Pei Tsay, Transportation
Alternatives

Thanks to all the design
practitioners and organizations
who participated in the 2009
Design Charrette to help test the
Guidelines prior to its publication.

*We also thank the many city
agencies that gave input including
the Depts of Parks and
Recreation, Buildings, Housing
Preservation and Development,
School Construction Authority,
Aging, and Mayor's Offices of
Long-Term Planning and
Sustainability, and of People with
Disabilities.



Community Design Strategies

- Land Use Mix
- Access to Supermarkets, Farmers Markets, Drinking Water
- Parks / Play Areas / Plazas
- Transit Access
- Pedestrian Friendly Environment
- Bicycle Network and Infrastructure





Building Design Strategies

- Bicycle Parking and Storage
- Active Recreation Spaces for Children + Adults
- Stairs: Accessibility, Visibility, Convenience
- Stairs: Aesthetics
- Stairs: Signage and Prompts
- Skip-Stop Elevators
- Improving Access to Drinking Water



**Burn Calories,
Not Electricity**



Take the Stairs!

Walking up the stairs just 2 minutes a day helps prevent weight gain. It also helps the environment.

Learn more at www.nyc.gov or call 311.

Michael R. Bloomberg Mayor    

General Approach



Added a Public Health Chapter:

“New York City is one of the healthiest cities in the United States, with a life expectancy that exceeds the national average.

This achievement is the result of visionary planning and sustained investment.....

.....Despite these successes, health challenges remain—and new ones are emerging—that require creative, modern shifts in how the city operates.”


General Approach

Smart Growth

Focusing the development for 1 million new people by the year 2030 near public transit access.

Recent, Planned, and Potential Initiatives
to Increase Capacity for Residential Growth

- APPROVED INITIATIVES
- PENDING & PLANNED INITIATIVES
- AREAS OF OPPORTUNITY
- AREAS WITHIN 1/2 MILE OF SUBWAY STATION



Changing the form of the Public Right of Way



City Policy + Implementation

Public Plaza Program





89% OF THE ROAD SPACE FOR
VEHICLES, 11% FOR PEOPLE



City Policy + Implementation

Public Plaza Program



Pedestrian volumes up:

- 6% in Herald Square
- 11% in Times Square

Retail up:

- in Times Square
- 49% drop in vacant storefronts in Union Square

Residential Streetscape Preservation Text Amendment



Too many curb cuts result in:

- 1. Unattractive streetscapes**
- 2. Loss of on-street parking**
- 3. Potential vehicular/pedestrian conflicts**
- 4. Loss of ground floor retail space in commercial and mixed-use districts**


Creating a more continuous and inviting pedestrian environment

Residential Streetscape Preservation Text Amendment



Creating a more continuous and inviting pedestrian environment


Improving Sidewalks




http://www.nyc.gov/html/dcp/html/sidewalk_experience/index.shtml

Bicycle Network

2005




2010






City Policy + Implementation

Bicycle Infrastructure



Zoning for Bicycle Parking



Bicycle parking now required for new buildings, enlargements, conversions and public parking garages (floor area is discounted)

NYC Bike Share



- Started 2013
- 10,000 bicycles, 600 stations – Manhattan, Queens, Brooklyn (including Brooklyn DPHO)
- Bike Share Health Evaluation – Chronic Disease, Injury, Environmental Health

Car Share Zoning Text Amendment

12 car share vehicles
would eliminate demand
for an estimated
28-154 cars in the area

Up to 40% of total spaces



EXAMPLE

Size of facility : 40 spaces


Car sharing vehicles : up to 12 cars

- Define 'car share' in the zoning resolution
- Establish rules for quantity and location

Food Retail Expansion to Support Health (FRESH)



-  FRESH Food Store
Areas where zoning and financial incentives apply
-  Additional areas where FRESH financial incentives may be available



NYC FRESH Program:
Zoning and tax incentives for providing fresh food options in
the city's underserved areas

www.nyc.gov/fresh

Public Parks and Open Spaces



FRIENDS OF THE HIGH LINE

yesterday...
Quo usque tandem abutere,
Catilina, patientia nostra
quam diu etiam* furor iste
tuus nos eludet quem ad
tuus nos eludet quem ad

tomorrow...
Quo usque tandem abutere,
Catilina, patientia nostra
quam diu etiam* furor iste
tuus nos eludet quem ad
tuus nos eludet quem ad
finem* sese effrenata
iactabit* audacia? nihiline te

Quo usque tandem abutere,
Catilina, patientia nostra
quam diu etiam* furor iste
tuus nos eludet quem ad

WHAT WILL GROW: HENRY 7

11th Ave

Estimated
Start Dates

Phase I '05
Phase II '06
Phase III '08
Property
of NYC

10th Ave

Chelsea Piers

Chelsea Market

Uttel W. 12th St

Gansevoort St

W. 33rd St.
W. 31st St.
W. 30th St.
W. 29th St.
W. 28th St.
W. 26th St.
W. 25th St.
W. 24th St.
W. 22nd St.
W. 22nd St.
W. 21st St.
W. 20th St.
W. 19th St.
W. 18th St.
W. 17th St.
W. 16th St.
W. 15th St.
W. 14th St.
W. 13th St.
Uttel W. 12th St.
Gansevoort St

★ you are
here

how to help

Quo usque tandem abutere, Catilina, patientia
nostra quam diu etiam* furor iste tuus nos
eludet quem ad finem* sese effrenata iactabit*
audacia? nihiline te nocturnum praesidium
Palaet, nihil urbis vigiliae, nihil

www.thehighline.org

the new gym...

simply look to your right (West), over 14th St.

shown almost actual site

the view shown here is taken on 30th St, looking East

Vision 2020: Comprehensive Waterfront Plan



Programming Streets for Active Recreation and Non-Car Mode Uses: Summer Streets and Play Streets



Improved Access to Tap Water - Public Realm & Buildings



Stair Promotion



Burn Calories,
Not Electricity



Take the Stairs!

Walking up the stairs just 2 minutes a day helps prevent weight gain. It also helps the environment.

Learn more at www.nyc.gov or call 311.

Michael R. Bloomberg
Mayor

nyc





REBNY

- Better designed buildings
- >30,000 stair prompt signs distributed to owners and managers of >1,000 buildings



Creating New Green Building Credits: LEED Pilot Credit “Design for Active Occupants”

- Adult and children’s active recreation spaces, gardening space, stair use promotion strategies – point added to existing points for site density, walkability, transit access and bike storage
- Being used in >30 NYC & U.S. buildings, incl. worksite buildings, public buildings, affordable housing developments



Integrating Health Items into City Administrative Processes Across Sectors

- Public Sector Design & Construction RFPs and Contracts
- Guidelines and Standards for Foods & Beverages served by City Agencies
- Design and Construction Guidelines and Standards in Different Agencies – Public Buildings, Streets, Schools, Housing
- Training of City staff in all relevant agencies
- >3000 U.S. architects, planners and other built environment professionals trained (>2000 in NYC)
- Training sessions shown to be **effective**
 - >**70%** **had not read** the Active Design Guidelines before
 - >**85%** say they **plan to use strategies** in Guidelines
 - >**80%** say their **employers will be receptive** and **clients will be receptive** to incorporating strategies

Impacts in NYC

- Increased:
 - Pedestrian volumes through pedestrian plazas
 - Stair use, where stair prompts are posted
 - Commuter cycling – up 289%
 - Bus and subway ridership – up 10%
 - Places for children's play - >60 new Play Streets permitted; >180 schoolyards to playgrounds opened
- Decreased:
 - Traffic fatalities 37%
 - Traffic volumes 1.5%
 - Car registrations 5%
- Started Reversing Childhood Obesity (also in Philadelphia & San Diego!)
- Positive Environmental and Economic Impacts

Canadian Case Study: Winnipeg/Manitoba

<http://hcbd-clasp.com/2013/04/22/connecting-the-dots-in-winnipeg-building-relationships-between-public-health-and-planning/>

“In February 2013, we at the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority were approached by the organizers of the **Manitoba Planner’s Conference** to deliver a post-conference Active Design Workshop... The invitation was initiated by the conference organizers who wanted to include the link between health and planning as a key theme in their conference...

The Active Design Workshop attracted a wide variety of participants; planners, members of the community, health authority staff, urban planners, and staff from local governments and NGOs across Manitoba. It provided an opportunity for sharing, learning and discussion between different sectors in Winnipeg and Manitoba about the built environment and its impact on health.

...projects that present opportunities to promote active transportation and active recreation... A dialogue has begun about how the health authority can be involved in this work; bringing health considerations into those discussions.

...We have now been formally invited to sit at the **OurWinnipeg** implementation table for the City’s Complete Community Strategy... Our involvement in the Manitoba Planner’s Conference has also opened a door at the **University of Manitoba**... The Department Head & Associate Professor in the Department of City Planning has invited us to attend multiple viewings of the downtown re-design projects and to bring our health and physical activity perspectives into those discussions... All of these developments have been unexpected outcomes that have grown out of our involvement in the Active Design workshop.”